	11			
	EPA ENFORCEMENT ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE CONTROL NUMBER FORM			
	FILLED OUT BY ORIGING COPY OF THE STATE OF T		Dondent)	
	n was originated by:	Thomas	— <del>-</del>	8/26/10
	Name	of Contact person	(	Date 1
in the	Office		at \	Phone number
	Non-SF Jud. Order/Consent Decree. DOJ COLLECTS		<del>-</del>	inistrative Order/
L	Collection Collection			ent Agreement COLLECTS PAYMENT
	F Jud. Order/Consent Decree. FMD COLLECTS			
1/	his is an original debt		This	is a modification
	Herson and/or Company/M	unicipality making the paym		
The Total bollar Amount of Receivable \$4.898				
	e Docket Number			of amounts and respective due dates)
	,,	<del></del>	1010	0554
	-Specific Superfund Acct. N			<del>_</del>
The Designated Regional/HQ Program Office				
	<u> </u>			<del></del>
TO BE	FILLED OUT BY LOCAL	FINANCIAL MANAGE	MENT	OFFICE:
The IFM	S Accounts Receivable Con		MENT	OFFICE:
The IFM		atrol Number	MENT (	·
The IFM If you ha	S Accounts Receivable Con	Name of Contact	MENT	Date
The IFM If you ha in the Fir	S Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:	Name of Contact phone number:		Date
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL	S Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:	Name of Contact phone number:		·
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh	S Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call: nancial Management Office, AL ORDERS: Copies of the	Name of Contact phone number:		Date
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh	Accounts Receivable Contive any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:	Name of Contact phone number:  is form with an attached	copy of (	Date  he front page of the final judicial
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh	S Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  losemarie Pacheco	Name of Contact phone number:  his form with an attached Section	2.	Date  he front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC)
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh  1. R E L	Accounts Receivable Control Accounts Receivable Control And Questions call:  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  Losemarie Pacheco  Invironmental Enforcement	Name of Contact phone number:  his form with an attached  Section	2.	Date  he front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC)
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh  1. R E L	Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  osemarie Pacheco  nvironmental Enforcement and Division, Room 13004	Name of Contact phone number:  his form with an attached  Section	2.	Date  he front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC)
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh  1. R E L 14	Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  cosemarie Pacheco invironmental Enforcement and Division, Room 13004  Vashington, D.C. 20005	Name of Contact phone number:  is form with an attached  Section  4	2. 3.	Date  the front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC)  Designated Program Office
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh  1. R E L 14 W ADMINI	Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  cosemarie Pacheco invironmental Enforcement and Division, Room 13004  Vashington, D.C. 20005	Name of Contact phone number:  his form with an attached  Section  V.	2. 3.	Date  he front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC)
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh  1. R E L W ADMINI administ	Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  Al ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  cosemarie Pacheco invironmental Enforcement and Division, Room 13004  425 New York Avenue, N.V. Vashington, D.C. 20005	Name of Contact phone number:  his form with an attached  Section  V.	2. 3.	Date  the front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC)  Designated Program Office
The IFM If you had in the Fire JUDICL order sh  1. R E L V ADMINI administ 1. O	Accounts Receivable Converse any questions call:  nancial Management Office,  AL ORDERS: Copies of the could be mailed to:  losemarie Pacheco Invironmental Enforcement and Division, Room 13004  1304  1304  1304  1305  1305  1306  1307	Name of Contact phone number:  his form with an attached  Section  V.	2. 3.	Date  the front page of the final judicial  Originating Office (ORC) Designated Program Office  I copy of the front page of the

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103-2029

In the Matter of:	)
Mid-Atlantic Petroleum Properties, LLC 12311 Middlebrook Road Germantown, MD 20874	) Docket Number: RCRA-3-2010-0339 )
RESPONDENT	
Gaithersburg Chevron 100 North Frederick Avenue	
Gaithersburg, MD 20877  FACILITY	Proceeding Under Section 9006 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C.  § 6991e.

#### **CONSENT AGREEMENT**

This Consent Agreement ("CA") is entered into by the Director, Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III ("EPA" or "Complainant") and Mid-Atlantic Petroleum Properties, LLC ("Respondent"), pursuant to Section 9006 of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), as amended, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e, and the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Consolidated Rules"), 40 C.F.R. Part 22, including, specifically 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b) and .18(b)(2) and (3).

This CA and the Final Order (collectively "CAFO"), resolve violations, alleged by EPA, of RCRA Subtitle I, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m, and the State of Maryland's federally authorized underground storage tank program by Respondent in connection with its underground storage tanks located at 100 North Frederick Avenue, Gaithersburg, Maryland (the "Facility").

Complainant and Respondent agree that settling this matter by entering into this CAFO, pursuant to Section 22.13(b) and .18(b)(2) and (3) of the Consolidated Rules is an appropriate means of resolving this case without litigation.

Effective July 30, 1992, pursuant to Section 9004 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991c, and 40 C.F R. Part 281, Subpart A, the State of Maryland was granted final authorization to administer a state UST management program in lieu of the Federal UST management program established under Subtitle I of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m. The provisions of the Maryland UST management program, through this final authorization, are enforceable by EPA pursuant to Section 9006 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e. Maryland's authorized UST program regulations are administered by the Maryland Department of the Environment ("MDE") and are set forth in the Code of Maryland Regulations ("COMAR"), Title 26, Subtitle 10 et seq., and will be cited as "COMAR" followed by the applicable section of the regulations.

#### **GENERAL PROVISIONS**

- 1. For purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent admits the jurisdictional allegations set forth in this CAFO.
- 2. Respondent neither admits nor denies the specific factual allegations and conclusions of law set forth in this CAFO, except as provided in Paragraph 1, above. This CAFO and any provision herein shall not be construed as an admission of liability on any criminal or civil action or other administrative or legal proceeding, except one to enforce the terms of this CAFO.
- 3. Respondent agrees not to contest EPA's jurisdiction with respect to the execution of this CA, the issuance of the attached Final Order ("FO"), or the enforcement of the CAFO.
- 4. For the purposes of this proceeding only, Respondent hereby expressly waives its right to a hearing on any issue of law or fact set forth in this CA and any right to appeal the accompanying FO. However, Respondent has not waived such right that may exist for any separate action that may be brought by MDE for any alleged violations described herein.
- 5. Respondent consents to the issuance of this CAFO and agrees to comply with its terms and conditions.
- 6. Respondent shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees.
- 7. The person signing this CA on behalf of the Respondent certifies to EPA by his/her signature herein that Respondent, as of the date of this CA, is in compliance with the provisions of RCRA, Subtitle I, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m, and the State of Maryland's federally authorized underground storage tank program set forth at COMAR §§ 26.10 et seq. at the Facility referenced herein.
- 8. The provisions of this CAFO shall be binding upon Respondent, and its officers, directors, employees, successors and assigns.

- 9. This CAFO shall not relieve Respondent of its obligation to comply with all applicable provisions of federal, state or local law, nor shall it be construed to be a ruling on, or determination of, any issue related to any federal, state or local permit, nor does this CAFO constitute a waiver, suspension or modification of the requirements of RCRA Subtitle I, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6991-6991m, or any regulations promulgated thereunder.
- Respondent agrees not to deduct, for civil taxation purposes, the civil penalty specified in this CAFO.
- 11. Complainant shall have the right to institute further actions to recover appropriate relief if Complainant obtains evidence that the information provided and/or representations made by Respondent to EPA regarding matters at issue in this CAFO are false or, in any material respect, inaccurate. Respondent is aware that the submission of false or misleading information to the United States government may subject Respondent to separate civil and/or criminal liability.
- 12. EPA has given the State of Maryland prior notice of the issuance of this CAFO in accordance with Section 9006(a)(2) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(a)(2).

#### FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- The United States Environmental Protection Agency Region III ("EPA" or the "Region") and EPA's Office of Administrative Law Judges have jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to Section 9006 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e, 40 C.F.R. Part 280 and 40 C.F.R. § 22.1(a)(4) and .4(c).
- 14. Respondent is a "person" as defined in Section 9001(5) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(5), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B.(40).
- At all times relevant to this CAFO, Respondent has been the "owner" and/or "operator," as those terms are defined in Section 9001(3) and (4) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(3) and (4), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B(37) and (39), of the "underground storage tanks" ("USTs") and "UST systems" as those terms are defined in Section 9001(10) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(10), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B(64) and (66), located at the Facility.
- On May 19, 2009, an EPA representative conducted a Compliance Evaluation Inspection ("CEI") of the Facility pursuant to Section 9005 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991d.
- At the time of the May 19, 2009 CEl, and at all times relevant to the applicable violations alleged herein, the following UST was located at the Facility: a twelve thousand (12,000) gallon cathodically protected steel tank that was installed in January 1988, and that, at all times relevant hereto, routinely contained and was used to store premium grade gasoline,

a "regulated substance" as that term is defined in Section 9001(7) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(7), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B(48) (hereinafter "UST No. 1").

- At the time of the May 19, 2009 CEI, and at all times relevant to the applicable violation alleged herein, the following UST was located at the Facility: a ten thousand (10,000) gallon cathodically protected steel tank that was installed in January 1988, and that, at all times relevant hereto, routinely contained and was used to store mid-grade gasoline, a "regulated substance" as that term is defined in Section 9001(7) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(7), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B(48) (hereinafter "UST No. 2").
- At the time of the May 19, 2009 CEI, and at all times relevant to the applicable violation alleged herein, the following UST was located at the Facility: a ten thousand (10,000) gallon cathodically protected steel tank that was installed in January 1988, and that, at all times relevant hereto, routinely contained and was used to store regular grade gasoline, a "regulated substance" as that term is defined in Section 9001(7) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(7), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B(48) (hereinafter "UST No. 3").
- At the time of the May 19, 2009 CEI, and at all times relevant to the applicable violation alleged herein, the following UST was located at the Facility: a ten thousand (10,000) gallon cathodically protected steel tank that was installed in January 1988, and that, at all times relevant hereto, routinely contained and was used to store diesel fuel, a "regulated substance" as that term is defined in Section 9001(7) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991(7), and COMAR § 26.10.02.04B(48) (hereinafter "UST No. 4").
- At all times relevant to the applicable violation alleged herein, the USTs Nos. 1-4 have been "petroleum UST systems" and "existing tank systems" as those terms are defined in COMAR, § 26.10.02.04B(43), (19) respectively.

#### COUNT 1

(Failure to maintain records of release detection for USTs Nos. 1 through 4)

- 22. The allegations of Paragraphs 1 through 21 of this CAFO are incorporated herein by reference.
- Pursuant to COMAR § 26.10.05.01A and C, owners and operators of new and existing UST systems must provide a method or combination of methods of release detection monitoring that meets the requirements described therein.
- 24. COMAR § 26.10.05.02B provides, in pertinent part, that USTs shall be monitored at least every 30 days for releases using one of the methods listed in COMAR § 26.10.05.04E-1, except that:

- (1) UST systems that meet the performance standards in COMAR § 26.10.03.01 (Performance Standards for New UST Systems) and .02 (Upgrading of Existing UST Systems), and the monthly inventory control requirements in COMAR § 26.10.05.04B or C (Inventory Control or Manual Tank Gauging) shall use tank tightness testing, conducted in accordance with COMAR § 26.10.05.04D (Tank Tightness Test), at least every 5 years until December 22, 1998, or until 10 years after the UST is installed or upgraded under COMAR § 26.10.03.02B (Tank Upgrading Requirements); and
- UST systems that do not meet the performance standards in COMAR § 26.10.03.01 (Performance Standards for New UST Systems) and .02 (Upgrading of Existing UST Systems), may use monthly inventory controls, conducted in accordance with COMAR § 26.10.05.04B or C (Inventory Control or Manual Tank Gauging) and annual tank tightness testing, conducted in accordance with COMAR § 26.10.05.04D (Tank Tightness Test) until December 22, 1998, when the tank must be upgraded under COMAR § 26.10.03.02 (Tank Upgrading Requirements) or permanently closed under COMAR § 26.10.10.02; and
- (3) Tanks with a capacity of 550 gallons or less and not metered may use weekly tank gauging, conducted in accordance with COMAR § 26.10.05.04C.
- COMAR § 26.10.04.05C(4) provides that UST system owners and operators shall maintain information of recent compliance with release detection requirements pursuant to COMAR § 26.10.05.06.

25.

26.

- Pursuant to COMAR § 26.10.05.06, owners and operators of new and existing UST systems shall maintain records in accordance with COMAR § 26.10.04.05 demonstrating compliance with all applicable requirements of COMAR. These records shall include the following and shall be maintained as follows:
  - A. All written performance claims pertaining to any release detection system used, and the manner in which these claims have been justified or tested by the equipment manufacturer or installer, shall be maintained for 5 years from the date of installation;
  - B. The results of any sampling, testing, or monitoring shall be maintained for I year; and
  - C. Written documentation of all calibration, maintenance, and repair of release detection equipment permanently located on-site shall be

maintained for at least 1 year after the service work is completed, and any schedules of required calibration and maintenance provided by the release detection equipment manufacturer shall be retained for 5 years from the date of installation.

- From May 1, 2008 until October 1, 2009, Respondent performed release detection for USTs Nos. 1 through 4 at the Facility.
- From May 1, 2008 until June 30, 2008, from August 1, 2008 until October 30, 2008, and from December 1, 2008 until October 1, 2009, Respondent failed to maintain records of release detection monitoring for USTs Nos. 1 through 4 in accordance with COMAR § 26.10.05.06 and COMAR § 26.10.04.05.
- Respondent's acts and/or omissions as alleged in Paragraph 28, above, constitutes a violation by Respondent of COMAR § 26.10.04.05C and COMAR § 26.10.04.05.

#### **COUNT 2**

(Failure to provide cathodic protection for UST No. 1)

- The allegation of Paragraphs 1 through 29 of this CAFO are incorporated herein by reference.
- COMAR § 26.10.04.02A provides that all owners and operators of steel UST systems with corrosion protection must comply with certain requirements to ensure that releases due to corrosion are prevented for as long as the UST system is used to store regulated substances.
- COMAR § 26.10.04.02B provides that all corrosion protection systems must be operated and maintained by owners and operators of UST systems to continuously provide corrosion protection to the metal components of that portion of the tank that routinely contains regulated substances and are in contact with the ground.
- UST No. 1 is and was, at the time of the violations alleged herein, a "steel UST system with corrosion protection within the meaning of COMAR § 26.10.04.02.
- Respondent conducted a test of the cathodic protection for UST No. 1 on October 19, 2006 and failed; however, UST No. 1 was retested on July 10, 2007 and passed.
- Respondent conducted a test of the cathodic protection for UST No. 1 on July 30, 2009 and failed, UST No. 1 was retested on October 7, 2009 and still failed. UST No. 1 was repaired on January 10, 2010.

- From October 19, 2006 until July 9, 2007, and from July 30, 2009 until January 9, 2010, Respondent failed to continuously provide corrosion protection to the metal components of those portions of UST No. 1 that routinely contain regulated substances and are in contact with the ground as required by COMAR § 26.10.04.02A and B.
- Respondent's act and/or omission as alleged in Paragraph 36, above, constitute violations by Respondent of COMAR § 26.10.04.02A and B.

#### **CIVIL PENALTY**

- In settlement of Complainant's claims for civil penalties for the violations alleged in this CA, Respondent agrees to pay a civil penalty in the amount of **Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Eight Dollars (\$4,898.00)**. The civil penalty amount is due and payable immediately upon Respondent's receipt of a true and correct copy of this CA and attached FO. If Respondent pays the entire civil penalty of four thousand eight hundred ninety-eight dollars (\$4,898.00) within thirty (30) calendar days of the date on which this CAFO is mailed or hand-delivered to Respondent, no interest will be assessed against Respondent pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(a)(1).
- Pursuant to 31 U.S.C. § 3717 and 40 C.F.R. § 13.11, EPA is entitled to assess interest, administrative costs and late payment penalties on outstanding debts owed to the United States and a charge to cover the costs of processing and handling a delinquent claim, as more fully described below.
- In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(a), interest on any civil penalty assessed in a CAFO begins to accrue on the date that a copy of the CAFO is mailed or hand-delivered to the Respondent. However, EPA will not seek to recover interest on any amount of such civil penalty that is paid within thirty (30) calendar days after the date on which such interest begins to accrue. Interest will be assessed at the rate of the United States Treasury tax and loan rate in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(a).
- The costs of the Agency's administrative handling of overdue debts will be charged and assessed monthly throughout the period a debt is overdue. 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(b). Pursuant to Appendix 2 of EPA's Resources Management Directives Cash Management, Chapter 9, EPA will assess a \$15.00 administrative handling charge for administrative costs on unpaid penalties for the first thirty (30) day period after the payment is due and an additional \$15.00 for each subsequent thirty (30) days the penalty remains unpaid.
- A late payment penalty of six percent per year will be assessed monthly on any portion of a civil penalty which remains delinquent more than ninety (90) calendar days. 40 C.F.R. § 13.11(c). Should assessment of the penalty charge on a debt be required, it shall accrue from the first day payment is delinquent. 31 C.F.R. § 901.9(d).

- The aforesaid settlement amount was based upon Complainant's consideration of a number of factors, including, but not limited to, the statutory factors of the seriousness of Respondent's violations and any good faith efforts by Respondent to comply with all applicable requirements as provided in RCRA Section 9006(c), 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(c), with EPA's Penalty Guidance for Violations of UST Regulations ("UST Guidance") dated November 4, 1990.
- Respondent shall pay the amount described in Paragraph 38 above, by sending a certified or cashier's check payable as follows:
  - a. All payments by Respondent shall reference Respondent's name and address, and the Docket Number of this action, *i.e.*, *RCRA-03-2010-0339*;
  - b. All checks shall be made payable to "United States Treasury";
  - c. All payments made by check and sent by regular mail shall be addressed and mailed to:

U.S. EPA - Fines and Penalties Cincinnati Finance Center P.O. Box 979077 St. Louis, MO 63197-9000

Contact: Eric Volck, 513-487-2105

d. All payments made by check and sent by overnight delivery service shall be addressed and mailed to:

U.S. EPA - Fines and Penalties U.S. Bank Government Lockbox 979077 1005 Convention Plaza Mail Station SL-MO-C2-GL St. Louis, MO 63101

Contact: Natalie Pearson (314) 418-1028

e. All payments made by check in any currency drawn on banks with no USA branches shall be addressed for delivery to:

Cincinnati Finance US EPA, MS-NWD

### 26 W. M.L. King Drive Cincinnati, OH 45268-0001

- Respondent may also pay the amount described in Paragraph 38, above, electronically or on-line as follows:
  - a. All payments made by electronic wire transfer shall be directed to:

Federal Reserve Bank of New York

ABA = 021030004

Account = 68010727

SWIFT Address = FRNYUS33

Tax id. No. = 52-0852695

33 Liberty Street

New York, NY 10045

(Field Tag 4200 of the wire transfer message should read: "D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency")

b. All electronic payments made through the automated clearinghouse (ACH), also known as Remittance Express (REX), shall be directed to:

US Treasury REX / Cashlink ACH Receiver ABA = 051036706 Environmental Protection Agency, Account No. 310006 Tax Id. No. 52-0852695 CTX Format Transaction Code 22 - checking

Physical location of U.S. Treasury facility: 5700 Rivertech Court Riverdale, MD 20737 Contact: Jessie White 301-887-6548 or REX 1-866-234-5681

c. On-Line Payment Option:

#### <u>WWW.PAY</u>.GOV

Enter sfo 1.1 in the search field. Open and complete the form.

d. Additional payment guidance is available at:

http://www.epa.gov/ocfo/finservices/make\_a\_payment.htm

A copy of Respondent's check or a copy of Respondent's electronic transfer shall be sent simultaneously to:

Regional Hearing Clerk (3RC00) EPA Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 - 2029, and

Donzetta Thomas (3RC30) Senior Assistant Regional Counsel U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, PA 19103-2029.

# **FULL AND FINAL SATISFACTION**

This CAFO constitutes a settlement by EPA of its claims for civil penalties pursuant to 9006(a) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6991e(a), for the violations alleged in this Consent Agreement.

# RESERVATION OF RIGHTS

EPA reserves the right to commence action against any person, including Respondent, in response to any condition which EPA determines may present an imminent and substantial endangerment to the public health, public welfare, or the environment. In addition, this settlement is subject to all limitations on the scope of resolution and to the reservation of rights set forth in Section 22.18(c) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice. Further, EPA reserves any rights and remedies available to it under RCRA, the regulations promulgated thereunder, and any other federal laws or regulations for which EPA has jurisdiction, to enforce the provisions of this CAFO, following its filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

# OTHER APPLICABLE LAWS

Nothing in this CAFO shall relieve Respondent of any duties otherwise imposed on it by applicable federal, state or local law and/or regulations.

# **AUTHORITY TO BIND THE PARTIES**

The undersigned representative of Respondent certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CA and bind Respondent hereto.

#### **ENTIRE AGREEMENT**

This CA and the attached FO constitute the entire agreement and understanding of the parties concerning settlement of the above-captioned action and there are no representations, warranties, covenants, terms or conditions agreed upon between the parties other than those expressed in this CA and the attached FO.

#### **EFFECTIVE DATE**

This CA and attached FO shall become effective upon filing with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

For Respondent:

by Peter Troilo. General Manager

Mid-Atlantic Petroleum Properties, LLC

For Complainant:

by Donzetta Thomas, Sr. Ass't Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III

After reviewing the foregoing Consent Agreement and other pertinent information, the Land and Chemicals Division, EPA Region III, recommends that the Regional Administrator or the Regional Judicial Officer issue the Final Order attached hereto.

2/18/10

 $\overline{\mathbf{D}}_{\alpha}$ 

By:

Abraham Ferdas, Director Land and Chemicals Division,

U.S. EPA Region III

# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION III

## 1650 Arch Street Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

In the Matter of:	)
Mid-Atlantic Petroleum Properties, LLC 12311 Middlebrook Road Germantown, MD 20874 RESPONDENT,	<ul> <li>Docket Number: RCRA-3-2010-0339</li> <li>Proceeding Under Sections 9006 of the</li> <li>Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.</li> <li>as amended, 42 U.S.C.</li> <li>§ 6991e.</li> </ul>
Gaithersburg Chevron 100 North Frederick Avenue Gaithersburg, MD 20877 FACILITY.	) ) ) ) )

### **FINAL ORDER**

Complainant, the Director of the Land and Chemicals Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency - Region III, and Respondent, Mid Atlantic Petroleum Properties, LLC ("Respondent"), have executed a document entitled "Consent Agreement" which I hereby ratify as a Consent Agreement in accordance with the Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties and the Revocation/Termination or Suspension of Permits ("Consolidated Rules of Practice"), 40 C.F.R. Part 22. The terms of the foregoing Consent Agreement are accepted by the undersigned and incorporated herein as if set forth at length.

NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO Section 22.18(b)(3) of the Consolidated Rules of Practice and Section 9006(c) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act, 42 U.S.C. § 699 e(c)("RCRA"), and having determined, based on the representations of the parties in the

attached Consent Agreement, that the civil penalty agreed to therein was based upon a consideration of the factors set forth in Section 9006(c) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C.§ 6991e(c), IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Respondent pay a civil penalty of Four Thousand Eight Hundred Ninety-Eight Dollars (\$4,898.00) in accordance with the payment provisions set forth in the attached Consent Agreement and comply with each of the additional terms and conditions as specified in the attached Consent Agreement.

The effective date of this Final Order and the accompanying Consent Agreement is the date on which the Final Order, signed by the Regional Administrator of U.S. EPA Region III or the Regional Judicial Officer, is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk of U.S. EPA - Region III.

Date: 8/2(4/10

Renee Sarajian

Regional Judicial Officer U.S. EPA, Region III

# CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that, on the date listed below, the original and one copy of the foregoing Consent Agreement and Final Order, Docket No. RCRA-03-2010-0339, was filed with the EPA Region III Regional Hearing Clerk, and that a correct copy of the same was sent in the following manner to the person listed below:

# Via United Parcel Service to:

Jeff Leitmer, Esq. 1707 L Street, N.W.

Suite 560

Washington, D.C. 20036

B 26/10

Donzetta W. Thomas (3RC30)

Counsel for Complainant

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III (215) 814-2474